# ROADWAY LANDSCAPE <br> ADVIISORY COMMITTEE 

Appointed by Lee County Board of County Commissioners

LEE COUNTY ROADWAY LANDSCAPE
ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING OF February 4, 2014
FIRST FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT/PUBLIC WORKS CENTER
1500 MONROE STREET, FORT MYERS, FLORIDA 6:00-7:30 P.M.
AGENDA PACKAGE ACCESS
http://www.leegov.com/gov/dept/dot/rlac/Pages/default.aspx

1. Call to Order 6:00 P.M. (Debbie Hughes)
2. *Approval of the March 5, 2013 and October 1, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes (no quorum on 10/1) (Debbie Hughes, 5 min .)
3. New Business:
a. Discussion of clear zones and clear sight for safety concerns and risk management in future designs (Bob/DOT Traffic/Landscape Architects, 20 min.)
i. Main effects on landscape designs
a. Adhere to Florida Green Book
i. Table 3-12
ii. FDOT Design Standard Index 700
iii. FDOT Design Standard Index 546
iv. FDOT Design Standard Index 544
ii. Other effects
4. LeeScape
5. Prioritization List
b. Shoppes at Plantation presentation (Rebecca Scheffler Morris, RLA, RSM Design, Inc. 15 min .)
c. Reminder of election of officers. (Bob DeBrock, 1 min.)
d. Ortiz Ave. median in front of Nature Center. (Bob DeBrock, 5 min.)
i. Maintenance
ii. Future
e. Palm Beach Blvd. trees blocking Riverland Nursery (Bob DeBrock, 5 min.)
6. Old Business:
a. Project Status Update (Bob DeBrock, 5 min.)
7. Other Business: (limit 10 min. per topic)
8. Adjourn by 7:30 P.M.

NOTE: The Roadway Landscape Advisory Committee (RLAC) consists of members appointed by the Lee County Board of County Commissioners to advise on matters related to roadway landscaping activities in Lee County. The committee meets regularly each month and meetings are open to the public. For more information, please contact Bob DeBrock at (239) 533-9425.

C/O Lee County Department of Transportation, 5560 Zip Drive, Ft. Myers, FL 33905 (239) 533-9400

## ROADWAY LANDSCAPE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (RLAC)

DATE: March 5, 2013
TIME: 6:00 P.M.
PLACE: 2115 Second Street, Fort Myers, Florida

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

John Sibley, District 2
David Salko, Horizon Council
Jennifer Evans, Florida Native Plant Society
Stephen Brown, Horticultural Extension Agent
Debbie Hughes, Fort Myers/Lee County
Garden Council
Winston Church, Keep Lee County Beautiful
Michael Weston, Urban Forester
STAFF PRESENT:
Ehab Guirguis, LCDOT
Doraine Wetzel, LCDOT
Robert DeBrock, LCDOT

MEMBERS EXCUSED ABSENT:<br>Barbara Niland, District 5<br>MEMBERS ABSENT:<br>Al O'Donnell, District 3<br>Gail Archer-Dearie, District 1<br>Esta Rubinstein, District 4<br>OTHERS PRESENT:<br>JB Schuetz, City of Ft. Myers<br>Jonathan Romine, Ensite<br>Isaac Wilder, HOA Siesta Isles<br>Ann Wilder, HOA Siesta Isles<br>Esther Schultz, HOA Siesta Isles<br>Michael Sklorenko, HOA Siesta Isles<br>Cancy Keim, HOA Siesta Isles

## Quorum Confirmed with 7 members present.

Debbie Hughes called the regular monthly meeting of the Roadway Landscape Advisory Committee (RLAC) to order at 6:00 pm.

## Approval of the December 4, 2012 Regular Meeting Minutes:

Debbie Hughes asked for a motion to approve the December 4, 2012 RLAC meeting minutes, $\underline{\text { a }}$ motion was made by John Sibley to approve the minutes, seconded by Jennifer Evans; the motion was called and carried.

## NEW BUSINESS

## Organizational Changes

Ehab Guirguis, Engineer Manager II has been with Lee County for 12 years. Ehab oversees the operations of Lee County Landscape, Drainage and Bridges. He explained that Lee County DOT is going through some organizational changes and announced that Robert DeBrock will take over the position as liaison for the RLAC. Bob comes highly recommended. He has been with the County for $101 / 2$ years. During that time he has been involved in over 30 CIP projects entailing
about 20 million dollars, which he served as Project Manager. His credentials include being a Certified Arborist Municipal Specialist, FNGLA Certified Horticulture Professional, Certified Stormwater Inspector, and Certified Pesticide Applicator as well as being certified in Hazardous Materials Operations and Intermediate Maintenance of Traffic. Bob is a member of the ISA (International Society of Arboriculture), SMA (Society of Municipal Arborist), FNGLA (Florida Nursery, Growers and Landscape Association) and he attended the Municipal Forestry Institute sponsored by the SMA. Bob has been diversified through his tenure at Lee County DOT Operations and is a valuable asset. He is ready to take on this new challenge as liaison to the RLAC for Lee County.

## Siesta Isles HOA grant request.

Bob DeBrock introduced Jonathan Romine from Ensite and explained that grant programs fall under the specifications as outlined in the LeeScape Master Plan. Bob explained that the funds if approved by the Board of County Commissioners need to be allocated by September 30, 2013. Jonathan presented the design plan for the Siesta Isles HOA Grant. The project is located along Siesta Dr. near Ft. Myers Beach and the design for the grant encompasses the medians only. There was a $\$ 6,000.00$ matching grant in 1998; a limited amount of plants still exist. The proposed plan will relocate some of the larger palms. The HOA will be responsible for the replacement of signs and the plantings in the round-abouts. The Siesta HOA was established in 1981, since that time they have taken care of the maintenance including some tree replacements. The proposed plan includes several dedicated crosswalks using pavers; low volume drip irrigation, and the plant pallet chosen for this design includes some canopy trees to provide shade through the corridor.

The total estimated cost of the project is $\$ 61,000$ and the grant request for Lee County is $\$ 44,000.00$. Winston Church asked if the HOA was contributing any additional funds toward the $\$ 44,000.00$, Jonathan stated that the HOA is asking for $100 \%$ of the requested funds for the Grant. David Salko voiced his concern on the use of Crape Myrtles, Flax Lilies and the annuals, he stated the annuals will pose a maintenance issue because they will only last a few months and will have to continually be replaced, he suggested choosing some type of perennial like Lantana's which would provide color. The Crape's and Flax tend to stress in this particular environment, he suggests Ficus and Emerald Blanket Carissa. Jenny Evans would like to see more Natives in the design since they are drought tolerant. Debbie asked Jonathan who would be maintaining the medians; Jonathan stated that the HOA will be using their existing landscape contractor to continue to maintain the medians. Stephen Brown added that his experience with Flax has done well in this environment. Debbie recommends they use Golden Creeper instead of the Ficus. John Sibley would like to see more natives incorporated in this design. He also noted the Lantana Camara is a category 1 invasive exotic and objects the use of this type of plant. Jenny noted that the Fakahatchee grass dries out in the winter and suggest he use muhly grass. Stephen recommended using Gumbo Limbo instead of the Live Oaks. JB noted the Geiger would be an alternative to the Crape Myrtle, Michael Sklorenko added that the reason to keep the height of the trees is to accommodate the view of the dock area for those residence living in the condo's. Jenny suggested Jamaican Dogwood, Stephen agreed with the Jamaican Dogwood suggestion; Jonathan has concerns of finding the size they need at an affordable price. John Sibly also made a suggestion to use Horizontal Coco Plum; Jonathan stated that County Staff had
already requested to switch to the Horizontal Coco Plum. Debbie also suggested for color, Yesterday, Today, Tomorrow, Stephen added that they are cold sensitive and loses their leaves in the winter; Jonathan said they are hard to locate and that Collier County tried using them and had no success.

Jonathan summarized the recommendations from the RLAC members: the Royals need to be Florida Royals, and a suggestion was made to use Buttonwood instead of Crape Myrtle some were in favor to keep the Crapes. Live Oaks will be replaced by Jamaican Dogwood, Coco Plum will be horizontal, will use Muhly Grass in place of Fakahatchee, change from Hybiscus to Jamaican Caper, Green Island Ficus is Jonathan's preference over the Carissa but it will be determined by County Staff. The Lantana will be replaced by Golden Creeper. The HOA will be responsible for the annuals.

David Salko made a motion to approve the design for Siesta Isles HOA Grant with the modifications discussed to add more natives and to send a revised plant list to the RLAC members for confirmation, seconded by Winston Church; the motion was called and carried.

## OLD BUSINESS:

## Project Status Update by Bob DeBrock

- Plantation Extension from Idlewild to Colonial - In contract maintenance. Final completion.
- US 41 from Littleton to Charlotte County line - In contract maintenance. Final completion.
- Three Oaks from Corkscrew to Alico - In Contract Maintenance.
- Estero Pkwy Ext from Three Oaks to Ben Hill Griffin - In contract maintenance.
- Three Oaks Pkwy from Bonita Bill to E. Terry - Received approval from the Board, working on contracts.
- Colonial from Six Mile Slough to SR 82 - Received approval from the Board, working on contracts.
- Gladiolus/Bass Rd. from Winkler to San Carlos - Soil is compacted in the median, working on a soil amendment plan.
- Bonita Beach Rd. - also having compacted soil problems.
- Summerlin from Boyscout to Cypress Lake - Preparing for Bid
- Six Mile Cypress from Daniels to Colonial - working on plans.
- Bonita Beach Rd. from Old 41 to Lime - working on plans.


## OTHER BUSINESS:

Debbie inquired about the volunteer tree planting project with Russ Ringland. There are several areas that are looking quite bad. Bob said the County has been out with the water truck but the watering is Russ' responsibility. Bob added that they are being more rigid with the permitting process to regulate the plantings. Ehab stated that Staff is enforcing the use of Florida No 1
plants, the last set of plants were inspected by Bob and Mike Williams before they were purchased. Debbie mentioned that Russ has done a good job and has been planting as many trees as possible. She recommends he buy less and plant less since this is a main corridor and should continue to look nice. John Sibley asked if specified sizes are being recommended. Bob and Ehab said they require 3 gallon.

Mike Weston asked if there is anything specified on volunteer projects that falls under standard operating procedures for a maintenance plan. Bob and Ehab stated the Veterans Pkwy volunteers have been told to plant better quality plants, stay off the berms, educate the volunteers on proper planting and limit plants to 500 . Debbie would like to see different species used.

Meeting Adjourn at 7:05 pm
Respectfully submitted,

Doraine Wetzel
Administrative Assistant

Chairman

Secretary

Date

Date

Attachment: Attendance Record

# ROADWAY LANDSCAPE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (RLAC) 

DATE: October 1, 2013
TIME: 6:00 P.M.
PLACE: 2115 Second Street, Fort Myers, Florida

MEMBERS PRESENT:<br>Jennifer Evans, Florida Native Plant Society<br>Winston Church, Keep Lee County Beautiful<br>Ronnie Simpson, Arborist-International Society of<br>Arboriculture

STAFF PRESENT:
Doraine Wetzel, LCDOT
Robert DeBrock, LCDOT
OTHERS PRESENT:
JB Schuetz, City of Ft. Myers
Beth Workman, LC Community Development

MEMBERS EXCUSED ABSENT:
Debbie Hughes, Fort Myers/Lee County Garden Council

## MEMBERS ABSENT:

Gail Archer-Dearie, District 1
John Sibley, District 2
Al O'Donnell, District 3
Esta Rubinstein, District 4
Barbara Niland, District 5
Stephen Brown, Horticultural Extension Agent
Michael Weston, Urban Forester

Quorum not met with only 3 members present.
Robert DeBrock called the regular monthly meeting of the Roadway Landscape Advisory Committee (RLAC) to order at 6:10 pm.

## Approval of the March 5, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes:

Approval of the March 5, 2013 RLAC meeting minutes, is postponed until the next meeting when a quorum is confirmed.

## NEW BUSINESS

## Introduce Mr. Ronnie Simpson, representing At-Large-Arborist-International Society of Arboriculture.

Bob DeBrock introduced the new Roadway Landscape Advisory Committee member, Mr. Ronnie Simpson and gave a brief biography. Originally from North Carolina, Certified Arborist Ronnie Simpson has worked his way south from Charlotte, NC to Cape Coral, FL caring for trees the whole way. He has worked in the tree care industry for over 20 years; Ronnie is a member of the International Society of Arboriculture and the ISA Florida Chapter. He specializes in Plant Health Care, and with this, advocates passionately for the care and health of trees, versus removal or destruction. Ronnie is currently seeking his certification as a Board Certified Master Arborist. He has lived in Cape Coral for over three years with his wife and daughter.

## Resignation of David Salko.

Lee County Division of Public Resources sent David Salko a letter stating his term of appointment to serve as a member of the RLAC expired August, 2013 and asked if he would accept reappointment. David replied stating he does not wish to accept reappointment to this committee. His position has been vacated.

## Acknowledge Debbie Hughes accomplishments noted in the Newspress.

On September 8, 2013 the News-press ran an article titled, "Passionists: Debbie Hughes' handiwork touches Southwest Florida". In the article they commended Debbie for her work at the Edison Home's Garden Shoppe, Terry Park and the Garden Council. To summarize the article; Debbie has a masters touch when it comes to plants and is very passionate at what she does. The Roadway Landscape Advisory Committee is fortunate to have someone of this high caliper.

## Upcoming RLAC Election of Officers

On March 6, 2012 new officers were elected for a 2 year term, Bob stated that the committee will elect a new Chair and Vice Chair during our next meeting. Those in office currently cannot serve the same office, however they can be nominated for another position. Bob asked the committee to e-mail him their nominations and he will bring them to the next meeting to be voted on. Bob stated that at this time most communication between staff and the committee members will be done via e-mail.

## OLD BUSINESS:

## Project Status Update by Bob DeBrock

- In CIP contract maintenance
- Three Oaks from Corkscrew to Alico. Final Completion 6/2014.
- Gladiolus/Bass from Winkler to San Carlos/Health Park to Gladiolus. Final Completion $5 / 2018$. This includes a 5 year maintenance on this project. Beth Workman announced this area has future developments planned.
- In Construction.
- Colonial from S.R. 82 to the Six Mile Slough. Substantial Completion punch list items.
- Three Oaks Pkwy. /Imperial from Bonita Bill St. to East Terry St. Finishing irrigation and planting trees.
- In Pre-construction.
- Ben C. Pratt/Six Mile Cypress from Daniels to Colonial. Contract preparation. Awarded to Hannula Landscaping.
- Summerlin Rd. from Cypress Lake Dr. to Boyscout Dr. To the BoCC 10/24/13 to award to Valley Crest Landscape Development.


## OTHER BUSINESS:

Winston Church inquired about the status of the Siesta Isles grant. Bob stated that the paperwork has been completed and sent for Board approval.

Winston also asked if the soil problems on Bonita Beach Rd. have been resolved. Bob stated there have been some design issues that they are working through. He mentioned the road work is complete and this project is assigned to Sarah Clark.

Beth brought up the Veterans planting project. Bob said County Staff is inspecting some plantings done on Veterans by Russ Ringland. Ronnie asked if the county requires a developmental pruning on these new trees. Bob stated that at the present we are doing this in house.

Meeting Adjourn at 6:30 pm
Respectfully submitted,

Doraine Wetzel
Administrative Assistant

Chairman

Secretary

Date

Date

Attachment: Attendance Record


TABLE 3-12
MINIMUIM WIDTH OF CLEAR ZONE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Type } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Facility } \end{gathered}$ | DESIGN SPEED (MPH) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 and Below | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 and Above |
|  | MINIMUM CLEAR ZONE (FEET) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 6 | 6 Local <br> 10 Collectors <br> 14 Arterials | 6 Local <br> 10 Collectors <br> 14 Arterials | 10 Collectors <br> 14 Arterials | 14 Arterials and Collectors ADT < 1500 <br> 18 Arterials and Collectors ADT $\geq 1500$ | 14 Arterials and Collectors ADT < 1500 <br> 18 Arterials and Collectors ADT $\geq 1500$ | 18 Arterials and Collectors ADT < 1500 <br> 24 Arterials and Collectors ADT $\geq 1500$ | 18 Arterials and Collectors ADT < 1500 <br> 30 Arterials and Collectors ADT $\geq 1500$ |
| Urban * | $11 / 2$ | $4^{* *}$ | $4{ }^{* *}$ | $4^{* *}$ | $4^{* *}$ | N/A ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | N/A ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | N/A ${ }^{\bullet \bullet}$ |

* From face of curb

On projects where the 4 foot minimum offset cannot be reasonably obtained and other alternatives are deemed impractical, the minimum may be reduced to $11 / 2$.

- Use rural for urban facilities when no curb and gutter is present. Measured from the edge of through travel lane on rural section.
Curb and gutter not to be used on facilities with design speed $>45 \mathrm{mph}$.

NOTE: ADT in Table 3-12 refers to Design Year ADT.




1. The information shown on this index is intended solefy for the purpose of clear sight development and maintenance at intersecting highvays, roads and streets, and is not
intended to be used to establish roadway and roadside safety except as related to clear intended to be used to establish roadway and roadside safety except as related to clear
sight corridors. An analysis of sight distance shall be documented for all intersections.
2. Details are based on the AASHTO 'A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways And Streets, 2001, CHAPTER 9, INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE, CASES B and F, and Department ,
3. The minimum driver eye setback of 14.5 ' from the edge of the traveled way may be adjusted on any intersection leg only when justified by a do
study of vehicle stopping position and driver eye position.
4. For SIgnalized ilitersections sight distances should be developed based on AAShto 'Case D-Intersections With Traffic Signal Control'. 'At signalized intersections, the first vehicle stopped on one approach should be visible to the driver of the first vehicle stopped on each of the other approaches. Left- turning vehicles should have suffficient
sight distance to select gaps in oncoming traffic and complete left turns. Apart from these sight conditions, there are generally no other approach or departure sight triangles needed for signalized intersections. However, if the traffic signal is to be placed on two -vay flashing operation (i.e. flashing yellow on the major-road approaches and flashing red on the minor-road approaches) under off- peak or nighttime conditions, then the
appropriate departure sight triangles for Case $B$, both to the left and to the right, should appropriate departure sight triangles for Case B, both to the left and to the right, shour
be provided for the minor -road approaches. In addition, if right turns on a red signal are to be permitted from any approach, then the appropriate departure sight triangle to
5. Where curvature, superelevation, adverse split profiles or other conditions preclude the use of standard tree sizes and spacing, proof of vievz and shadowing restraints must be documented and the size and location of trees in medians detailed in the plans.
6. Intersection sight distance values are provided for Passenger Vehicles, SU Vehicles and Combination Vehicles. Intersection sight distance based on the Passenger Vehicle is suitable for most intersections. Where substantial volumes of heavy vehicles enter the major -road, such as from ramp terninals with stop control or roadways serving truck
terminals, the use of tabulated values for su vehicles or Combination Vehicles should be considered.
7. Details apply to both rural and urban intersections under stop sign control or 5. (Cont)) flashing beacon control. For full signal controlled intersections see Design
Note No 4. At intersections fisted in the Departmentes sigh Report, designers shall give attention to keeping to a minimum, objects that distract or affect sight distance.
8. Sight distance ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' applies to normal and skeved intersections (intersecting angles betveen $60^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$, and where vertical and/or horizontal curves are not present. Sight distance 'd' is measured along the major roadway from the
center of the entrance lane of the minor readday to approach lane (right or left) of the major roadway. Distances ' $d_{L}$ ' and ' $d_{r}$ ' are measured from the centerline of the entrance lane of the minor roadway to a point on the edge of the near side outer traffic lane on the major
roadvay. Distance ' $d_{m}$ ' is measured from the centerline of the entrance roadvay. Distance ' $d_{m}$ ' is measured from the centerline of the entrance lane
of the minor roadway to a point on the median clear zone limit or horizontal of the minor roadway to a point on the median clear zone limit
clearance limit for the far side roadvay of the major roadway.
9. A. The limits of clear sight define a corridor throughout which a clear sight A. The limits of clear sight define a corrioor throughout vhich
window must be preserved. See WINDOV DETALL, Sheet 2 .
B. Clear sight must be provided betveen vehicles at intersection stop
c. Since observations are made in both directions along the line of sight, the reference datum between roadways is $3^{\prime \prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ above respective pavements.
10. Barrier systems within intersection sight corridors, where penetration into the sight vii
practical.
11. The corridor defined by the limits of clear sight is a restricted planting area Drivers of vehicles on the intersecting roadvay and vehicles on the major
roadway must be able to see each other clearly throughout the limits of $d^{\prime}$ and ' $d a$ '. If in the Engineers judgement, landscaping interferes with the line of sight corridor prescribed by these standards the Engineer may rearrange, to selections as follows: apply:

Ground Cover \& Trunked Plants (Separate or Combined)
Ground Covers - Plant selection of lovk groving vegetation which at maturity does not
attain a height greater than $18^{\prime}$ belovv the sight line datum. For ground cover in attain a height greater than $18^{\circ}$ below the sight line datum. For ground cover in
$24^{\prime}$ for trees and palms $\leq 11^{\prime \prime}$ dia.; and, $18^{\prime}$ for sabal palms $>11^{\prime \prime}$ but $\leq 18^{\prime}$ dia (dia--vithin Sight Window)

Trunked Plants - Plant selection of a mature trunk diameter 4' or less measured at $6^{\circ}$ above the ground. Canopy or high borne foliage shall never be lower than 5' above the

Trees - Trees can be installed with sod; pavers; gravel, mulch; ground covers or other Department approved material. The clear sight windovy must be in conformance with
the 'WINDOVI DETALL' modified to attain the height requirements listed in 'Ground Covers' above.
A. Size and spacing shall conform to the Tree Spacing Table
B. Requirements for placement within medians at median openings and at unsignalized and signalized intersections:
. Horizontal clearance for the mature specimen shall be maintained as specified in Index 700. Specimens whose mature trunk diameter is greater than 18 inches hall not be permitted,
b. Where left turns from the major road are permitted, no trees shall be located Thin the distance ' $d_{b}$ ', Sheet 2 of 6 . and not less than the distances called for in (c) or (d), as applicable,
c. For safety, these additional setbacks are required:

1. Where no left turn lane is present, size and spacing shall conform to the Tree Spacing Table. No trees shall be pernitted within 100 of the
restricted median nose (measured from the edge of pavement),
2. Where left turn lane(s) are present, the following requirements apply: spacing shall conform to the Tree Spacing Table. No trees shall be pernitted within 100 of the restricted median nose (measured from the edge of pavement). For high speed facilitities (design speed 50 mph or greater), no trees
shall be permitted within 200 of the restricted median nose. Beyond this limit, size and spacing shall conform to the Tree Spacing Table.


\section*{| Minimum Spacing (c. to c. of Trunk) | 22 | 91 | 27 | 108 | 33 | 126 | 40 | 146 | 45 | 165 | 52 | 173 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}

Sizes and spacings are based on the folloving conditions:
a. A single line of trees in the median parallel to but not necessarily colinear with the centerline
b. A straight approaching mainline, within skevy limits as described in No. 2 above.
c. 1. Trees and palms $\leq 11^{1 \text { inin }}$ diameter casting a vertical $6^{\prime}$ wide shadov band on a vehicle entering at stop bar location when viewed by mainline driver beginning at distance ' $d$ '; see SHADOV DIAGRAA, Sheet 2.
2. Sabal palms with diameters $>11^{\prime \prime}$ to $\leq 18^{\prime}$ spaced at intervals providing a 2 second full view of entering vehicle at stop bar location when viewed by mainline driver beginning at distance ' $d$ '; see PERCEPTION DIAGRAM, Sheet 2

Trees with diameters $\leq 11^{\prime \prime}$ intermixed with trees with diameters $>11^{\prime \prime} \leq 18$ are to be spaced based on trees with
diameters $>11^{\prime \prime} \leq 18^{\prime}$
For any other conditions the tree sizes, spacings and locations shall be detailed in the plans; see Design Note 5.

$\Rightarrow$ Lane Identification and Direction of Traff
2. Pavement Markings

Special Areas Limited to Ground Cover





SIGHT DISTANCES $(d) \&\left(d_{v}\right)$ AND RELATED DISTANCES $\left(d_{L}, d_{r}, d_{m} \& d_{V L}\right)($ FEET $)$

## 4 LANE DIVIDED ROADWAY

|  | DESCRIPTION: |  | FDOT DESIGN STANDARDS FY 2012/2013 | SIGHT DISTANCE AT INTERSECTIONS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { INDEX } \\ \text { NO. } \\ 546 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHEET } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




PROCESS FOR DETERMINING HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE REQUIREMENTS AND CLEAR ZONES

|  | 2008 FDOT Design Standards | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{\text {Reast }}$ | Sheet No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ROADSIDE OFFSETS | $7{ }^{\text {Indax }}$ |  |


|  | TABLE C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l} \text { Hem } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | OBJECTS, OBSTRUCTIONS OR CONDITIONS | horizontal clearance requirements |  |
|  |  |  | Restrroted | Nonrostrioted |
| GENERAL | , | Above ground fixed hazards: All roodside objects, obstructions or condiftions other than those Itsfed below that exceed 4 Inches in height and pose a hazard to errant vehicles and vehicle accupants. | Locate as close to the Right of Way as proctical and not less thon 4 feet from foce of curb. | Locote outside the clear zone os close to the Right of Way as proctiool. |
| ROADWAY | 2 | All FDOT approved guordrails, crosh cushions, permanent or temporary concrete barriers, and guardrall end terminals | Looate os shown in the Design Standords. | Loocte os shown in the Desilgn Stondorrs. |
|  | 3 | Drop-off hazards: Any point along a roadside slope steeper than Iv:3h that is deeper than 6 feet below the hinge point. See Figure 2. | Locote the point that is 6 feet below the hinge point no less than 22 feet from the troveled way. | Treat as roodside slopes in occordance with Design Stondard 400 . |
|  | 4 | Mollboxes not shown in Design Stonderd 532. | Nof to be used. | Not to be used. |
|  | 5 | Mallboxes stown in Desitgn Stondord 532. | Looote in ocoordonce with Desitgn Stondord 532. | Locote in occordonce with Design Standord 532. |
|  | ${ }_{6}$ | Trees expected to become greater thon 4 Inches in diameter measured 6 inches obove the ground. | Outside roodways: Locofe no less than 4 feet from face of curb in accordonce with Design Standard 546. <br> Inside medians: Locote no less than 6 feet from the edge of traffic lane and in occordance with Design Stondard 546. | Locote outside the clear zone os close to the Right of Way as proctical ond in accordance with Design Standard 546. |
|  | 7 | Trees not expected to become greater thon 4 Inches in dlometer measured 6 inches above the ground. | Looote in occordonce with Design Stondord 544. | Looote in occordonce with Design Stondord 546. |
|  | 8 | Conols behind guerdroll. | Locote no less than 5 feet from the bock of the guardrail post. | Leoote no less thon 5 feet from the bock of the guordrall post. |
|  | 9 | Conols wittout guordrail. | Locate os close to the Right of Way as proctical and not less than 40 feet from the traveled way. | Design speeds of 50 mph and greater: Locate os alose to the Right Of Way as proctical and not less than 60 feet from the traveled woy. <br> Design speeds less that 50 mph : Locote as close to the Right of Way as proctical and not less thon 50 feet from the traveled way. |
| DRAINAGE | 10 | Culvert wing wall, endwall, retaining walls and flared end sections less thon 6 feet deep. | Looste no less thon 4 feet from foce of arb. | Looote outside the clear zone. |
|  | " | Culvert wing wall, endwall, retaining walls and flared end sections 6 feet and greater in depth. | Treot os drop-off hozord, See Ifem No. 3. | Treot os drop-off hozord, See Item No. 3. |
|  | 12 | Mitered end sections. | Loote os shown in Design Stondords 272 and 273. | Locote os shown in Desilgn Stondords. |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES | 13 | Fronglble sign supports. | Locote no less than 4 feet from foce of curb and in occordance with Design Stondard 17302. | Leote in occordonce with Design Stondord IT302. |
|  | 14 | Overread sign supports ond other nonfrangible signs. | Loote no less thon 4 feet from foce of arrb. | Locote outside the clear zone. |
|  | 15 | SIgnol controller cobtrets, slgnol poles, stroin poles ond most orms. | Locote no less than 4 feet from face of aurb and not in medians. | Looote outsilde the clear zone ond not in medions. |
| LIGHTING | 16 | Conventionol Ighting (frongible ond nonfrangible ). | Locole no less than 4 feet from face of curb and not in medions. | Locate 20 feet from travel lanes or 14 feet from auxillary lanes. Not in medians. May be clear zone width when the clear zone is less than 20 feet. |
|  | $\pi$ | Highmost lighting. | Not opplicoble. | Loote outside the clear zone. |
| STRUCTURES | 18 | Bridge plers ond obutments: Above ground vertiool structures. | Locate not less than 16 feet from edge of trovel lone. | Looote outside the cleor zone. |
|  | 19 | Fire hydronts with boses no higher thon 4 inches obove the ground. | Looute not less than 2 feet from foce of curb. | Locote as close to the Right of Woy os proctioal. |
| UTILITIES | 20 | Utillity Instollations: All obove ground fixed objects. | Locate as close to the Right of Way as proctloal and not less than 4 feet from face of curb and not in medions. | Losote outside the clear zone os close to the Right Or Woy os proctiool ond not in medions ond not within IImited occess foolilities. Moy be ploced 4 feet behtrd the bock of shelds thot hove been Justified for other reasons. |
| RAILROADS | 2 | Railrood crossing troffic control devices. | Looate in occordance with Design Standord 1882. | Looste in occordance with Design Standord 1882. |



DROP-OFF HAZARDS
FIGURE 2

## GENERAL NOTES

1. When sidewalks ore present, on unobstructed sidewalk width of of least 4 feet must be provided.
2. When site specific condifions prootibit meeting the horizontal clecrance requirements in TABLE $C$, the object, obstrueftion or condiftion must be mititigoted, possibly by shitelding. Otherwise, the Plons


 a Utility
Monuol.

2008 FDOT Design Standards
Reviston

| Shops at Plantation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opinion of Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qty. | Key | Scientific Name | Common Name | Sızıng $\ddagger$ Spacing | Native | Unit Price | Total Cost |
| 40 |  | Understory Plantings | Silver Buttonwood | 6'-8' height | Y | \$130.00 | \$5,200.00 |
| 104 |  | Understory Plantings | Oleander | 6'-8' height | N | \$70.00 | \$7,280.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Replacement Estimate |  |  | \$12,480.00 |
| 36 |  | Remove Understory | Silver Buttonwood $\ddagger$ Oleander | Understory removal |  |  | \$2,500.00 |
| 350 | EA | Grasses | Dwarf Fakahatchee $\ddagger$ Muhly | 3 gallon, 24 " oa ht., $3^{\prime}$ o.c. |  | \$9.00 | \$3,150.00 |
| 4100 | SF | Mulch | Mulch modified beds | Pime Bark |  | \$0.40 | \$1,640.00 |
|  |  | Irrigation | Irrigation | ReparyModily Exsting |  |  | \$6,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Proposed Changes |  |  | \$10,790.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Difference |  |  | \$1,690.00 |
|  |  | Mantenance | Mantenance cost | 1 year |  |  | \$3,596.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



