Lee County Board Of County Commissioners								
	Agenda Item Sum	mary Blue S	Sheet No. 20030939					
1. REQUESTED MOTION:			į.					
ACTION REQUESTED: Reque	est Board of County Commission	direction regarding the curren	at Communications Services					
Tax rate and authorize adoption of	f resolution if deemed necessary	7.						
1								
		Campingionare regules	ted a review of the					
WHY ACTION IS NECESSAR Communications Services Tax rat	$\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$: In June, 2001, the Board of	County Commissioners reques	ica a review of the					
Communications Services Tax rat	e once a revenue history was est	aonsnoa.						
WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLIS	SHES: Determines continuation	of or revision to existing Com	munications Services Tax					
Rate.								
2 DEPARTMENTAL CATEC	OPV:	3. MEETING DAT	E: 00 - 0 - 0 - 0					
COMMISSION DISTRICT #			08-19-2003					
4. AGENDA:	5. REQUIREMENT/PURPO	OSE: 6. REQUESTOR O	F INFORMATION:					
TODAY.	(Specify)							
CONSENT	STATUTE		A. COMMISSIONER					
x ADMINISTRATIVE	ORDINANCE	B. DEPARTMENT	County Administration Budget Services					
APPEALS	ADMIN. CODE	C. DIVISION	Buuget Sci vices					
PUBLIC	OTHER	BY: Anto	nio Majul, Budget Director					
WALK ON			CUANT					
TIME DECILIBED.	TIME DECLUDED.							
PACK CROUNDS On June 26, 2001, the Board of County Commissioners approved resolutions establishing the								
Communications Tax Simplification Act on telecommunications services (Blue Sheet #2001676). This action replaced locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed a fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers who were assessed as fee on all locally collected revenues from only cable franchise fees with an expanded base of customers.								
c Ct-1-communications (i.e. telephones cell phones etc.) Funds were collected at the state level and remitted monthly								
1 initial invisitations based upon a percentage rate. Resolutions (I)-(0-)4 and VI-V0-)3 established tax rates of 3.4270								
c 4 and a least on pariod of EV01 02 and 5 22% for a twelve-month collection bellog covering 1 102-03. The face 1								
adopted by the BOCC was the highest available because a collection history based upon the new customer base was unknown.								
8. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS: BOCC consider either reducing Communications Services Tax Rate to								
2.00% effective January 1, 2004	or retain the existing rate of 5.22	2%						
9. <u>RECOMMENDED APPROVAL</u> :								
A B	C D E	F	G					
	Human Other County	Budget Services	County Manager					
Department 2 are or and a	esources Attorney	Culim elaloz						
· Contracts		OA OM Risk	GC , _					
(U/W-9/2)		7 A4- A4-	18 3 HS					
V. Slulos	રાગાઝ	y2 "8/7/6" 8/1/03	8/103					
10. COMMISSION ACTION:								
To. Commission		hu Coattu	8/ 250					
	APPROVED	3/1/00	140					
DENIED Dete: 3/1/2		730 R	DRWARDED TO COMPANY					
APPROVED DENIED DEFERRED OTHER			8/ (0)					
OTHER Fernarded To:								
	011	102 130						
		1 1 × 1 × 1	. —					

Blue Sheet # 20030939

Communications Services Tax Background (Continued)

At the time of adoption, the BOCC indicated an interest in re-examining the rate once a revenue collection had been established. Any change in the rate for the upcoming calendar year must be adopted by September 2003 to become effective in January 2004.

The Florida Department of Revenue (DOR), prepared an analysis of potential revenues in 2001 and determined "hold harmless" rates for each of the state's cities and counties. The "hold harmless" rate was a representation of a "revenue neutral" adjustment to accommodate the new customer base that would be established with implementation of the Communications Services Tax. For Lee County, the FY01-02 ten-month period was 2.20% and for FY02-03, the twelve-month period was 2.00%. Following is a summary of actual revenues collected from December, 2001 to July, 2003 and estimated revenues for the period August, 2003 through December, 2003 split according to the recommended state rate and the projected revenues received above the state rate:

	Recommended State Rate (Revenue Neutral)		Projected Above State Rate (Excess Revenues)		Total
Actual Lee County Collections (December 2001-June, 2003)	\$4,680,621	+	\$7,165,392	=	\$11,846,013
Estimated Lee County Collection (July 2003-December, 2003)	ons \$ <u>1,378,800</u>	+	\$ <u>2,221,200</u>	=	\$ 3,600,000
TOTALS	\$6,059,421		\$9,386,592		\$15,446,013

This analysis concludes that a potential \$9.3 million would be collected from the initiation of the tax to the effective adjustment in January 2004 that is above the state's suggested rate. There are no restrictions in the use of the proceeds from the Communications Services Tax. Currently, this revenue source is used to supplement unincorporated MSTU property tax revenues and other smaller revenue sources for funding operating expenses within the unincorporated areas of Lee County. This use is the same as cable franchise fees that existed prior to the implementation of the Communications Services Tax.

Potential Uses for the Excess Revenues Collected Above the State Rate

- 1. Enhanced Telecommunication Infrastructure Projects (such as installing downtown campus SONET ring)
- 2. Enhanced Funding for Roadway Landscaping
- 3. Urban Street Lighting
- 4. Continuation of Using Moneys to Supplement MSTU Fund