

**Lee County Board Of County Commissioners
Agenda Item Summary**

Blue Sheet No. 20041013

1. REQUESTED MOTION:

ACTION REQUESTED: Concur with the ranking of Consultants by the Competitive Negotiations Committee for CN-04-15 DEL PRADO EXTENSION CORRIDOR STUDY US 41 to SR 31, the following order of ranking, and authorize contract negotiations to commence with the number one ranked firm: (1) Inwood Consulting Engineers; (2) WilsonMiller, Inc.; and (3) E.C. Driver & Associates, Inc.

WHY ACTION IS NECESSARY: Board approval required.

WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES: Allows negotiations to begin with consultants to provide professional services for the project. The study will evaluate the traffic needs and demand for a roadway corridor extending from US 41 and Del Prado Boulevard as the western terminus to include the interchange with I-75 as identified in the Master plan adopted by FHWA and FDOT, and the adjacent property owners east of I-75.

2. DEPARTMENTAL CATEGORY:

09 - Transportation

COMMISSION DISTRICT #:

C9A

3. MEETING DATE:

10-12-2004

4. AGENDA:

- CONSENT
- ADMINISTRATIVE
- APPEALS
- PUBLIC
- WALK ON
- TIME REQUIRED:

5. REQUIREMENT/PURPOSE:
(Specify)

- STATUTE
- ORDINANCE
- ADMIN. CODE AC-4-4
- OTHER

6. REQUESTOR OF INFORMATION:

- A. COMMISSIONER
- B. DEPARTMENT Transportation
- C. DIVISION
- BY: Scott Gilbertson, Director

7. BACKGROUND:

Letters of Interest were solicited on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners for professional services for the Del Prado Extension Corridor Study US 41 to SR 31. The deadline for receipt of Letters of Interest was July 19, 2004. A total of five (5) Letters of Interest were received by the established deadline. All five (5) Letters of Interest were considered at the Competitive Negotiations Committee meeting held on July 22, 2004. The Competitive Negotiations Committee consisted of the following staff members: Jim Lavender, Public Works Director as Chairman, Dave Loveland, Manager, Transportation Planning, and Don DeBerry, Project Manager, DOT. Based on the information submitted by the Consultants in their Letters of Interest, it was the consensus of the Committee to invite three (3) firms for written and oral presentations.

On the basis of the information submitted by the firms in their Letter of Interest and after conducting the oral presentations with the "short list" firms, the Committee agreed to recommend to the Board the following order of ranking of Consultants: (1) Inwood Consulting Engineers, (2) WilsonMiller, Inc., (3) E.C. Driver & Associates.

- CON'T PAGE 2 -

8. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:

9. RECOMMENDED APPROVAL:

A Department Director	B Purchasing or Contracts	C Human Resources	D Other	E County Attorney	F Budget Services Risk			G County Manager
<i>[Signature]</i> 9-24-04	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/24	N/A	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/27/04	<i>[Signature]</i> 9/28	OA	OM	GC	<i>[Signature]</i> 9-24-04

10. COMMISSION ACTION:

- APPROVED
- DENIED
- DEFERRED
- OTHER

Rec. by CoAtty

Date: 9/27/04

Time: 4:45

Forwarded To:

RECEIVED BY
COUNTY ADMIN: *[Signature]*

9/27/04
4:30PM SC

COUNTY ADMIN
FORWARDED TO:
[Signature]

BLUE SHEET 20041013 – BACKGROUND CON'T

Staff is proposing to limit the scope of the study to primarily the western end at this time, from U.S. 41 to and including I-75. That is the most critical section in terms of timing, and will allow a focus on coordination with the MASTER PLAN development for the Prairie Pines Preserve as well as the jurisdictional responsibility issues raised by Cape Coral (which extend all the way down to Cape Coral Parkway).

The negotiated bid will be brought back to the Board for their approval.

**COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATIONS COMMITTEE
OVERALL RANKING SHEET
INTERVIEWS/PRESENTATIONS
FOR
CN-04-15 DEL PRADO EXTENSION CORRIDOR STUDY
MONDAY, AUGUST 2, 2004
9:30 A.M.**

COMPANY NAME _____ **NUMBER RANKED**

E.C. Driver & Associates

3

Inwood Consulting Engineers

1

WilsonMiller Inc.

2

COMMITTEE MEMBER
(PROJECT MGR) SIGNATURE: _____

