<u></u>	Lee Cou	nty Boar	d Of Cou	nty Commis	ssioners		2.00043
anuary 11, 2005		Ageno	la Item Su	ımmary	<u>F</u>	Blue Sheet No.	20050043
. REQUESTED MOTION:							i
ACTION REQUESTED: App.	rove criteria i	for the Par	tnering for	Results Requ	est for Proposal (RFP) process and	approve FY 2005-
2006 funding amount not to exceed	\$3,622,154.	Approve	a maximun	1 01 \$42,000 (04	of the \$3,022,134	to be allocated to	Officed way of Lee
County for the Information & Refe	rrai (211) ser	vices for i	Y 2005-20	00.			
WHY ACTION IS NECESSA	RV · To prov	ride policy	direction a	nd establish b	undget for the Par	tnering for Result	s Request for
Proposal process.	IXI. To prov	ide policy	uncouona		augvi ivi iiiv i iii		•
Toposai process.							
WHAT ACTION ACCOMPL	ISHES: Est	ablishes th	ne RFP guid	lelines and fur	nding amount for	FY 2005-2006 P	artnering for Results
and approves a maximum of \$42,0	00 to be alloc	ated to Ur	nited Way.				
2. DEPARTMENTAL CATE	GORY 05		_	- A	3. <u>MEE</u> T	TING DATE:	
			/0 L	5 K		1 15	2205
COMMISSION DISTRICT	Γ # CW		<u> </u>		CPROL	$\frac{1}{2}$	-2005
4. <u>AGENDA</u> :			ENT/PUR	<u>POSE</u> :	6. REQU	ESTOR OF IN	FORMATION:
	(Specif)	v)					27/4
X_ CONSENT		TATUT	-			MISSIONER	N/A
ADMINISTRATIVE		PRDINA	_			RTMENT	Human Services
APPEALS		DMIN.	CODE		C. DIVIS		N/A
PUBLIC	<u>X</u> C	THER	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BY: _	Karen B. Hawes,	
WALK ON							GmC
TIME REQUIRED:						1.0 1	1 11
7. BACKGROUND: The	Partnering f	or Results	Request fo	r Proposal (R	FP) process prov	ides general fund	dollars to not-tor-
profit human service ager	cies to purch	ase servic	es, which d	emonstrate a	measurable outco	ome.	
The Partnering for Result	s RFP will in	clude the i	tollowing cl	riteria: - massyroble	outcomes that ou	pport the departm	ental ontcomes
Services must	be based on a	unit rate	and produce	e measurable	outcomes that su	pport die depardii mai Revenue Cod	de Section 501(c) 3 of
Bidders must the Code. The	e private nor	1-prom en	uty with taz	c-exempt stan	ting	mai icevende co	de Beetlen 301(e) 3 01
the Code. The	i IKS must na	od twolve	months of c	neration and	service delivery :	prior to proposal :	submission date.
Bidders must be a second by the second	ave complet	eu iweive ondont fin	monds of c	t of the prior	fiscal vear (or mo	est current audit),	including the
Bidders must be a supported by the support of	ave an indep	tendent iii	ianciai audi se The Pee	r Review lett	er for the audit fi	rm must be provi	ded. The accountant
management in must have rece	eived an unni	en respon odified on	inion on the	eir review.	er for the addit in	in mast of provi	2.10 4041
Bidders must p	rovide direct	t services t	to clients.				
Services must							
Minimum amo				nsidered is \$1	5,000.		
Proposed prog	rams must no	ot be fully	dependent	of County fur	ding to operate.	(continued page	2)
1 Toposou F-18			•	•			
8. MANAGEMENT RECON	1MENDAT	IONS:					
Approve the action							
i		9. <u>REC</u>	OMMEN	DED APPR			
A B	C	D	E		F		G
Department / Purchasing /	Human	Other	County	l l	Budget Serv	rices	County Manager
Director or	Resources		Attorne	у	CHM 1/2	100	
Contracts			1.1			<u> </u>	
		Į.	(1)4 Lin	OA_		sk GC	1.10
100	N/A	N/A	1/1/10	Texton	arm 58	198/	14011
BAH	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, 1112	1/12/10	1/1/2/05	111105
10. COMMISSION ACTION	<u> </u>	``	1			, .	
ï			ſ				
APPROVED Rec. by CoAtty				RECEIVE	D BY		
DENIED			Ţ	Date: 12 05 1/12 /6 5			
DEFERRED			1	2 2/2 /2 2/2			
	OTHER		1	Time: 1,55		COUNTY	ADMIN
				Forwarded To		FORWARI	DED TO:
			1	Harmaraea 16		·	
			1	LOUNG IN	./V .	L	į.

Blue Sheet Number:

Proposals for mental health or substance abuse prevention or treatment programs, medical
education or services, construction or purchase of capital equipment, programs requiring
religious participation, and educational or extracurricular programs for youth operating
during the school day will not be considered.

Agencies will be required to submit a letter of intent by specified due date along with required documentation. Department of Human Services staff will verify receipt of letter of intent and required supporting documentation. If any supporting documentation is missing, agencies will be notified of the missing document(s) via fax and may submit the document(s) within three (3) working days of the fax notification

Proposals with disqualifying events will be rejected and a notice sent via certified mail stating the reason. Disqualifying events are as follows:

- Mandatory Letter of Intent including all required attachments is not submitted to the Lee County Department of Human Services by February 7, 2005 by 5:00 p.m.
- Agency does not fulfill all the bidder qualifications.
- Proposed program does not provide direct services.
- Proposed program does not benefit residents of Lee County.
- Amount requested from Lee County for proposed program is less than \$15,000.
- Proposal is for ineligible services which are mental health or substance abuse treatment
 programs, medical education or services, construction or purchase of capital equipment,
 programs requiring religious participation, educational or extracurricular programs for youth
 operating during the school day.
- Proposed program must not be fully dependent of County funding to operate.
- Proposal is not received on-line by March 18, 2005 by 12:00 p.m. (noon).

The remaining proposals will be evaluated by the Partnering for Results Proposal Review Panel.

The RFP for FY2005-2006 will be available on-line. Agencies will be required to submit proposals on-line by the determined due date. Workshops will be held to assist agencies with the on-line proposal. Agencies must attend workshops to receive a user ID and password to access the on-line proposal.

Staff is recommending continuation of core level service guidelines for the FY 2005-2006 RFP process. Funds will be made available in the FY 2005-2006 budget in an amount not to exceed \$3,622,154.

ATTACHMENTS: Calculation of General Fund Dollars Proposal criteria

CALCULATION OF FUNDING FOR FY05-06 (PREPARED 12/2/04)

USES GROWTH RATE OF 6.0% Differential Fiscal Year Actual General Fund Percent Property Tax Funding Actual - FY93-FY04 of General Fund Budget - FY05 Property Projected - FY06 - 08 Tax 1993 \$1,472,939 \$84,748,770 1.74 1994 \$1,585,714 \$86,812,823 1.83 \$1.577.209 \$89,944,443 1 75 1995 1996 \$1,546,896 \$89,899,069 1.72 1997 \$1,510,114 \$90,716,378 1.66 1998 \$1,664,576 \$95,956,717 1.73 1999 \$1,742,011 \$101,274,640 1.72 2000 \$1,841,630 \$109,078,413 1 69 \$2,074,737 \$116,875,664 1.78 2001 \$2 270 776 \$134,228,613 \$196,039 2002 1.69 2003 \$2,387,894 \$153,814,711 1.55 \$117,118 2004 \$2,405,576 \$179,562,040 1.34 \$17,682 1.68 Avg of Percents FY93-FY04 \$766,618 \$3,172,394 \$202,629,819 2005 2006 \$3,622,154 \$215,695,618 1.68 Avg of Percents FY94-FY05 \$449,760 \$3.811.418 \$228.637.355 1.67 Avg of Percents FY95-FY06 \$189,264 2007 2008 \$4,022,628 \$242,355,596 1.66 Avg of Percents FY96-FY07 \$211,211 0.0729 FY00 Amount As Millage: FY01 Amount As Millage: 0.0743 FY02 Amount As Millage: 0.0712 FY03 Amount As Millage: 0.0647 FY04 Amount As Millage: 0.0521 FY05 Amount As Millage: 0.0595 FY06 Amount As Millage: 0.0680 0.0675 FY07 Amount As Millage: FY08 Amount As Millage: 0.0672 Calculation of FY06 Property Tax for Determination of Amount

Note #1:

2004 (FY05) Taxable Value for Lee County \$50,266,648,842 1.060 Times Percent Growth of 6.0% \$53,282,647,773 2005 (FY06) Projected Taxable Value for Lee County \$53,282,648 Projected Value of 1 Mill for FY06 Times Millage Rate (4.2612 General Fund) 4.2612 \$227,048,019 0.95 Times 95% for Budgeting Purposes \$215,695,618 Projected Property Taxes - General Fund for FY06

Calculation of FY07 Property Tax for Determination of Amount

\$53,282,647,773 2005 (FY06) Taxable Value for Lee County Times Percent Growth of 6.0% 1.060 \$56,479,606,639 2006 (FY07) Projected Taxable Value for Lee County \$56,479,607 Projected Value of 1 Mill for FY07

4.2612 Times Millage Rate (4.2612 General Fund) \$240,670,900 0.95 Times 95% for Budgeting Purposes \$228,637,355 Projected Property Taxes - General Fund for FY07

Calculation of FY08 Property Tax for Determination of Amount

\$56,479,606,639 2006 (FY07) Taxable Value for Lee County Times Percent Growth of 6.0% 1.060 \$59,868,383,037 2007 (FY08) Projected Taxable Value for Lee County \$59,868,383 Projected Value of 1 Mill for FY08 Times Millage Rate (4.2612 General Fund) 4.2612 \$255,111,154 Times 95% for Budgeting Purposes 0.95 \$242,355,596 Projected Property Taxes - General Fund for FY08

Actual Average of Annual Growth Rates in Taxable Value Over SEVEN Years (FY98-99 to FY04-05): 10.1% (This Example Uses 6.0%)

File: Fiscal/jim/CASP/CASP06.xls

Lee County Department of Human Services Partnering for Results Request for Proposals Guidelines

INTRODUCTION

The Lee County Department of Human Services requests proposals from qualified non-profit organizations to purchase services that address one of the priority outcome areas.

The maximum amount of funding projected for FY2005-2006 is \$3,580,154. The County reserves the right to reduce, amend, and/or rescind this RFP any time prior to the final award and approval of any contract for services by the Board of County Commissioners. This funding is subject to availability. Submission of a proposal does not guarantee funding. Costs associated with proposal preparation shall be the responsibility of the bidder. Proposals will become the property of Lee County.

To determine the priorities for the current funding cycle, the Department considered existing services provided through Federal, State, and County funds, as well as the unmet needs expressed by the citizens in a needs assessment conducted in the year 2002. The Needs Assessment by the Shimberg Center, Policy and Management Research, University of Florida included telephone surveys, door-to-door interviews, and neighborhood focus groups.

BIDDER QUALIFICATIONS

AGENCY MUST HAVE All the following requirements for proposal submission:

- A tax exempt status organization as described in Private non-profit tax-exempt status under Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Internal Revenue Code Section 501 (c) 3 of the Code. The IRS must have acknowledged this ruling in writing.
- Twelve months of service delivery prior to proposal submission date.
- Independent certified audited financial statement of prior fiscal year (or most current audit), including the management letter and written response.
- CPA's Peer Review letter. The accountant must have received an unmodified opinion on their review.

IF NOT SURE AGENCY MEETS ALL OF THE AFOREMENTIONED QUALIFICATIONS, CONTACT DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES STAFF

PROPOSAL MILESTONES

- Monday, February 7, 2005 by 5:00 p.m. Mandatory Letter of Intent due with all required attachments
- Friday, March 18, 2005 by 12:00 p.m. (noon) Proposal due must be submitted on-line
- Friday, March 25, 2005 by 5:00 p.m. Proposal cover page with signature of authorized official, documentation of formal partnerships (i.e. Memorandums of Understanding, Letters of Agreement, etc.), and written confirmation of financial support from other funding sources must be delivered to:

Lee County Department of Human Services 83 Pondella Road, Suite 1 North Fort Myers, Florida 33903

DISQUALIFYING EVENTS

Proposals WILL NOT be considered if any of the following disqualifying events apply:

- Mandatory Letter of Intent to bid including all required attachments not submitted to the Lee County Department of Human Services by February 7, 2005 by 5:00 p.m.
- Agency does not fulfill all the bidder qualifications.
- Proposed program does not provide direct services.
- Proposed program does not benefit residents of Lee County.
- Amount requested from Lee County for proposed program is less than \$15,000.
- Proposal is for ineligible services which are mental health or substance abuse treatment programs, medical education or services, construction or purchase of capital equipment, programs requiring religious participation, educational or extracurricular programs for youth operating during the school day.
- Proposed program must not be fully dependent of County funding to operate.
- Proposal is not received on-line by <u>March 18, 2005 by 12:00 p.m. (noon).</u>

DEPARTMENT REVIEW PROCESS

Department of Human Services staff will verify receipt of letter of intent and required supporting documentation. If any supporting documentation is missing, agencies will be notified of the missing document(s) via fax and may submit the document(s) within three (3) working days of the fax notification. Proposals with disqualifying events will be rejected and a notice sent via certified mail stating the reason. The remaining proposals will be evaluated by the Partnering for Results Proposal Review Panel.

EVALUATION PROCESS

The Partnering for Results Proposal Review Panel is a Board appointed committee that will read and evaluate the accepted proposals and make funding recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners.

Review team members are assigned specific proposals to read and score. The proposals will be evaluated and scored based on the contents and in accordance with the established evaluation criteria. No additional information after the stated proposal deadline will be accepted.

Teams meet as needed to discuss scoring and determine ranking of proposals. The Review Panel will convene for team presentations and to finalize funding recommendations.

Applicants will be notified of the Panel's preliminary recommendations. A written summary of the funding recommendations will be forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners. All Partnering for Results Review Panel meetings are open to the public. Public comment regarding the review process may be made at the final Proposal Review Panel meeting.

The Partnering for Results allocation will be formally adopted at the County budget hearings in September, 2005.

AWARD REQUIREMENTS

Upon award, a contract will be executed by the Board of County Commissioners and administered by the Department of Human Services. This contract will be based upon the information submitted in the proposal and all accompanying exhibits and attachments. Modifications and updates to proposal exhibits may be required prior to contract execution.

Included in this Request for Proposal is a draft of the standard contract used by the Lee County Department of Human Services. The standard contract will be used for all awards. Contract language is not negotiable. Successful bidders are expected to comply with all requirements of the request for proposal and county contract.

PRIORITY OUTCOME AREAS

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING/LIVING

Outcome #1: Special populations achieve their highest potential.

Populations: Adults and children with physical, developmental, and/or mental disabilities, homeless, elderly, and farm workers

The outcome is supported through assisting individuals and/or families in:

- finding safe, accessible, and affordable housing
- maintaining or improving the ability of an individual to be independent
- helping families and individuals remain in permanent housing

Examples of services:

- activities which promote health, well-being, and integration into the community
- home-based services such as personal care and housekeeping
- respite services and support for caregivers
- life skills activities
- resource coordination
- therapies to enhance activities of daily living

ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

Outcome #2: People have the job skills and economic opportunities they need to be self-supporting and self-sufficient.

Populations: Low and moderate-income households, people with physical, developmental, and/or mental disabilities, homeless, elderly, farm workers, immigrants, and ex-offenders

The outcome is supported through assisting individuals and/or families in:

- obtaining, maintaining and improving employment opportunities
- acquiring or increasing the language skills necessary to succeed in the work place
- acquiring knowledge about workplace practices and expectations

Examples of services:

- vocational training, job readiness, workplace conduct training, internships, job placement, and job retention
- employment-related language skills
- childcare, transportation, or resource coordination related to employment or education for employment

ACTIVITIES FOR YOUTH

Outcome #3: Youth make safe, responsible decisions.

Populations: School age, at-risk youth (youth who experience extreme economic and social deprivation, family conflict, engage in problem behaviors and/or have friends who engage in problem behaviors)

The outcome is supported through:

- providing safe activities for youth that reduce personal risk
- preventing youth violence
- creating opportunities that build character and promote making good choices

S:\INTSVCS\Partnering for Results\PFR 2005-2006\RFP Process\2005-2006 Funding Guidelines.doc Last printed 1/11/2005 8:54 AM

• providing youth with opportunities to succeed by increasing their knowledge, skills, and abilities

Examples of services:

- after-school and summer activity programs
- tutoring and other educational programs
- mentoring and/or inter-generational programs
- · conflict resolution and anger management programs
- activities that promote cultural awareness, character building, and citizenship
- substance abuse prevention programs
- delinquency prevention and/or dropout prevention programs
- teen pregnancy prevention programs
- youth community service programs

BASIC ASSISTANCE

Outcome #4: Families and individuals meet their subsistence needs.

Populations: Low and moderate-income households, people with physical, developmental and/or mental disabilities, homeless, elderly, and farm workers

The outcome is supported through:

- providing food, clothing, emergency shelter, transportation, and other essential items
- providing emergency financial assistance for housing related expenses
- providing financial education or counseling

Examples of services:

- food
- emergency shelter
- clothing
- · emergency financial assistance
- financial or consumer related education or counseling
- child abuse or domestic violence prevention, counseling and/or treatment

PARTNERING FOR RESULTS REVIEW PANEL FY 2005-2006 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Agency Name :	
Outcome:□ Supportive Housing/Living □ Economic O	pportunity ☐ Activities for Youth ☐ Basic Assistance
Program Name:	
Unit Description:	_
Total Unit Rate: \$	
Unit Rate funded by Lee County: \$	
Total # of Units:	
# of Units purchased by Lee County:	OLDOLE ONE ANOMED FOR EACH
Administrative and Fiscal Capacity	CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EACH
Agency has: A. Minimally acceptable accounting system B. Moderately acceptable accounting system C. Demonstrates acceptable and accountable	fiscal management system

<u>Proposal Reference Points for Accounting System:</u> 1) Administrative and Fiscal Capacity Sheet; 2) Lee County financial monitoring report; 3) Partnering for Results staff summary sheet

Agency has:

- A. Limited program experience
- B. Moderate program experience
- C. Extensive program experience

<u>Proposal reference points for program experience:</u> 1) Partnering for Results staff summary sheet; 2) Proposal Narrative "Administrative & Fiscal Capacity" and "Knowledge of the Needs and Experience with Target Population"

Agency has:

- A. Board of Directors with limited involvement
- B. Active and diverse Board of Directors

<u>Proposal reference points for Board of Directors Involvement:</u> 1) Partnering for Results staff summary sheet; 2) Proposal Narrative "Administrative & Fiscal Capacity" and 3) Board meeting minutes

Agency has:

- A. Audit with significant management letter comments and/or other than an unqualified opinion
- B. Outdated audit
- C. Audit with clean opinion and minor or no management letter comments

Proposal reference points for Board of Directors Involvement: 1) Partnering for Results staff summary sheet; 2) Proposal Narrative "Administrative & Fiscal Capacity"

PARTNERING FOR RESULTS REVIEW PANEL FY 2005-2006 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Agency Name :		
Agency has: A. Outdated monthly financial statements B. Monthly financial statements indicating a weaknesses in financial po C. Monthly financial statements indicating a strong financial position	sition	
<u>Proposal reference points for Audit and Financial Statements:</u> 1) Agency's County's financial monitoring report; 3) Partnering for Results staff summand		al reports; 2) Lee
Agency financial position shows potential for program's future sustainability.		Poor Fair Good
Executive Director's and staff's education, background and experience are well- and effectively carry out the agency's mission.	suited to represen	t Poor Fair Good
Proposal reference points for Staff Education and background: 1) S	taffing Plan	
Majority of board members actively engage their time and expertise to assist an organization and Executive Director.	d support the	Poor Fair Good
Proposal clearly documents: 1. target population 2. need for service 3. how need is not met by other organizations 4. methodology used to determine appropriateness of service delivery 5. program partners	yes or no yes or no yes or no yes or no yes or no	
Program Design		
Proposal thoroughly describes services including: 1. hours and days 2. list of activities 3. ratio of staff to participants 4. fees, if applicable 5. number of participants to be served 6. participant eligibility criteria 7. target population 8. number of unduplicated participants annually 9. location of services 10. outreach methods	yes or no	
Program provides unique, unduplicated service.		Poor Fair Good
Program enhances, expands, or improves rather than continuing the agency's o projects or programs.	ngoing operations	

PARTNERING FOR RESULTS REVIEW PANEL FY 2005-2006 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Agency Name :				
Outcomes (See Proposal Summary, Program Design, and Exhibit 2)	nes (See Proposal Summary, Program Design, and Exhibit 2)			
Program outcomes:				
are consistent with agency mission	yes	or	no	
do identify type of client served	yes	or	no	
do identify type of change to be measured	yes	or	no	
are measurable within contract period	yes	or	no	
5. do identify percentage of clients that will achieve change	yes	or	no	
Results/impacts are clearly defined and measurable.				Poor
,				Fair
				Good
Unit of service:				
is clearly stated	yes	or	no	
Unit Rate Narrative clearly describes:				
2. other funding sources	yes	or	no	
Amount requested from Lee County =				
Unit rate requested X Number of units requested	yes	Ui	110	
Budget:				
 is complete realistically reflects the expectations expressed by program, 	yes	or	no	
such as enhancements, expansions, etc.	yes	or	no	
is reasonable and consistent with the activities				
outlined in the proposal narrative (appropriateness of expenses)	yes	or	no	
Budget clearly shows:				
 majority of revenue from non-county sources (documented) 	yes	or	no	
 utilization of in-kind resources all revenues and expenses (i.e., Does it include Lee County 	yes	or	no	
revenue? Is revenue adequate to cover expenses?)	yes	or	no	

Total Score =

PARTNERING FOR RESULTS REVIEW PANEL FY 2005-2006 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Agency Name :
Please answer the following questions clearly and concisely so that we may provide feedback to the providers.
Questions:
In what area(s) did the proposal appear to be weak?
2. In what area(s) did the proposal appear to be strong?
3. Was proposal written in a manner which was easy to comprehend? If not, please explain. ———————————————————————————————————
4. Were the instructions for completing the proposal followed properly? If not, please explain.
Reviewer:
Date:

CALENDAR

Dates	Action
January 20 & January 27, 2005	Public Notice in Fort Myers News-Press
January 31, 2005	Request for Proposal On-line Guidelines, Letter of Intent, and Sample Contract Release Date for review - Website: dhs.lee-county.com/rfp
February 7, 2005 by 5:00 p.m.	A mandatory Letter of Intent to Bid and all required attachments must be hand-delivered to the Department of Human Services for proposal(s) to be considered at: Lee County Department of Human Services 83 Pondella Road, Suite 1 North Fort Myers, FL 33903
February 15, 2005	2:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m. Bidder's Technical Assistance Workshop
February 17, 2005	1:30 p.m. – 4:30 p.m. Bidder's Technical Assistance Workshop
	YOU MUST ATTEND ONE OF THE TWO WORKSHOPS TO RECEIVE YOUR USER ID AND PASSWORD TO ACCESS THE ON-LINE PROPOSAL.
Location:	Lee County Administration Building 2115 Second Street – First Floor Conference Room Fort Myers, FL (downtown, diagonally across from the jail)
March 18, 2005 by 12:00 p.m.	Proposals Due must be submitted electronically by 12:00 p.m. (noon)
March 25, 2005 by 5:00 p.m.	Proposal cover page with signature of authorized official, documentation of formal partnerships (i.e. Memorandums of Understanding, Letters of Agreement, etc.), and Written confirmation of financial support from other funding sources must be hand-delivered to: Lee County Department of Human Services 83 Pondella Road, Suite 1 North Fort Myers, FL 33903
April 2005	Agencies will be notified of disqualified proposals by certified mail, if applicable
April & May 2005	Proposal evaluation process
June 2005	Agencies will be notified of the Proposal Review Panel's preliminary funding recommendations by mail and recommendations will be forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners
September 2005	Public Hearings for FY 2005-2006 Lee County Board of County Commissioners' Budget
October 2005	Contracts processed for approved proposals

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

BUDGET Projection of total annual revenue and expenses for a specific program (budget

should be approved by Agency's Board of Directors). To determine total operating costs and unit cost for the program, budget must include costs for entire program, not just the portion being requested from Lee County.

FTE Full-time equivalent (example: 3 half-time employees equals 1 ½ FTEs)

PROGRAM EXPENSES Direct and Indirect Costs that can be clearly identified and accounted for in the

operation of a specific program.

PROGRAM REVENUE All funds received or anticipated to support the operation of a program.

PROGRAM An activity or set of activities to achieve agency's outcomes.

ACTIVITIES Service(s) provided that make up the total program. Each activity should

contribute to the program outcomes.

UNIT OF SERVICE An episode of program service delivery to client (i.e., meal, hour of counseling,

trip, night of shelter, etc.)

UNIT COST Program expenses, including overhead, divided by number of units provided.

UNIT RATE Compensation for a unit of service that is agreed upon in the contract.

OUTCOMESMeasurable benefits or changes for persons participating in program activities.

Measuring and reporting outcomes gives programs an opportunity to clarify what they are trying to do, measure how well they have succeeded, improve their performance, and communicate their goals and accomplishments to others.

IN-KIND Non-cash donated goods or services that support the program.