Lee County Board Of County Commissioners Agenda Item Summary

Blue Sheet No. 20051671

1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE:

Approve and authorize the Chairman to sign a contract between Lee County and Casey Industrial, Inc.., the responsive/responsible low price proposer, meeting all requirements to perform the "Balance of Plant" General Contract construction of the WTE Expansion Project, for an amount not exceeding \$29,981,498.00, all in accordance with RFP B&R 2661-GC 100.

2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES:

Provides the necessary general contractor construction for the Waste To Energy Expansion Project.

3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approval of Action Requested.

4. Departmental Category	7. Requirement/Purpose		5. Meeting Date	12-06-2005
6. Agenda:	7. Requirement/Purpose	e: <i>(specify)</i>	8. Request Initia	ated:
Consent	Statute		Commissioner	
⋌ Administrative	Ordinance		Department	Public Works
Appeals	X Admin. Code	4-4	Division	Solid Waste
Public	Other		By: Lindse	ey J. Sampson
Walk-On			<u> </u>	1. I demand
9. Background:			- 2	inday Sampson -
	y the County's design engineer,		on behalf of the Sol	id Waste Division on

Sealed quotes were received by the County's design engineer, Burns & Roe, on behalf of the Solid Waste Division on October 14, 2005. On that date two (2) responses were received. After review, recommendation was made to award to the low-priced proposer meeting all requirements, Casey Industrial, Inc. for a not to exceed contract price of \$29,981,498.00, including the cost for a full payment and performance bond and a (County controlled) contingency amount of \$495,000.00.

Funds will be available in account string 200923 40102.506540 upon issuance of bonds.

Attachments: Burns & Roe Summary Term Sheet dated (to follow)

Burns & Roe Bid Evaluation dated (to follow)

Contract Document to Follow

Department Director	Purchasing or Contracts	Human Resources	Other	County	Budget Se	rvices	County Manager/P.W. Director
11.16.05	Burga Ma		15H() 1114	5 lives	2 Mily A I have	Grants Mgr.	Samuell- 11-16-05
11. Com	mission Acti Approved Deferred	i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CO	CEIVED BY COLUMN ADMIN:	Rec. by CoAtty	
	Denied Other	,			-16-05 1:40 UNTY ADMIN	Date! ((C)	
				10	RWARDED TO	Forwarded To:	<u> </u>



INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM FROM

SOLID WASTE DIVISION

Phone: (239) 338-3302 Fax: (239) 338-3304

			November	
TT()	La Cara	10	T' 1 T	Sampson, R.E. 101
10:	Lee Cares	From:	Lindsey J.	Sampson, R.E.
		•	Director	Lindrey Sampson
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
				in his absence

SUBJECT: Walk On Blue Sheet 20051669 and Blue Sheet 20051671 WTE Expansion Project

These Blue Sheet are being walked on for Tuesday's, November 22nd, meeting due to the fact that negotiations with Covanta have reached the point where both items regarding the WTE Expansion can be taken up and addressed by the Board.

Negotiations with Covanta have been ongoing for over a year and reached the point where the project can move forward.

November 15, 2005

LEE COUNTY WTE EXPANSION PROJECT FORT MYERS, FLORIDA RFP 2661-GC-100 General Construction BID EVALUATION

On July 13, 2005, Burns and Roe Enterprises, acting on behalf of Lee County, issued Request for Proposal No. (RFP) 2661-GC-100 "General Construction" package to the following prequalified companies: Casey, Industrial, Inc.; Dick Corporation; and Sauer, Inc. On July 21, 2005, a pre-proposal meeting was conducted at the jobsite. All three bidders were in attendance along with representatives from Lee County, Covanta, Burns and Roe, HDR. The meeting notes of the pre-proposal meeting were issued and included as part of Addendum #1. Dick Corporation declined to submit a proposal.

Addenda 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were issued and acknowledged by the bidders.

Proposals were required to be submitted in two phases. The first phase required unpriced proposals to be submitted. Quantities for the various discipline items was required, plus, comments, clarification, questions concerning the scope of work and the commercial terms. Quantities are for information purposes and provides the companies general understanding of the scope of work. Clarifications and comments included were reviewed and incorporated, as appropriate, into the RFP documents by the issuance of Addendum 3. Addendum 3 also included further design development. The second phase of the process was to receive lump sum fixed priced proposals based upon the latest information available (RFP documents and Addenda 1, 2, and 3), receive minimal clarifications and set the proposal due date at October 7, 2005 of which both bidder requested an extension. The proposal due date was extended one week to October 14, 2005 with agreement that the award/notice to proceed date would be extended from December 5, 2005 to December 15, 2005 and no extension to the contract completion date. Both bidders agreed.

Casey and Sauer submitted priced proposals on October 14, 2005 as required. A Proposal Opening Form was completed and included herein as Attachment 1. Also included in Attachment 1 is the Proposal Form Pricing Sheet (1.0 Base Price) as included in respective proposals. This identifies the original Base Price submitted and pricing the two requested options included in the RFP. Option one is the assembly/dress out of the Generator Step-up Transformer and two for the installation of the APC Building Enclosure.

After an internal review of each proposal, a list of question and comments were submitted to each bidder. Review meetings with Casey and Sauer were on October 24, 2005 and October 25, 2005 respectively. Covanta and Burns and Roe conducted these meetings discussing the overall project and contract requirements including scope, schedule, construction plan, site safety, staffing, etc., and addressing the list of questions and comments. As a result of these meetings, latest design information was provided both Casey and Sauer by way of Addenda 4 and 5 and both were requested to update proposals. Updated proposal pricing is attached showing delta between original submitted pricing and current pricing.

On November 11, 2005 both Casey and Sauer were requested to submit their Best and Final Offer (BAFO) based on RFP 2661-GC-100 documents, correspondence, meetings, and the terms and conditions as discussed and agreed. Both companies submitted its BAFO by the required time of Monday 11/7/05 3pm.

Attached Bid Abstract identifies original pricing as submitted, adjusted base pricing (specific adjustments required in order for proposals to comply with the RFP documents), options recommended to be included in Contract, value engineering alternatives, and the Best and Final Offers submitted.

Exceptions/clarifications to Commercial Documents were reviewed with both Casey and Sauer. With the understanding that any modification to commercial terms must be approved by Lee County, comments/clarification were discussed. This review clarified Lee County's position on commercial terms and both Casey and Sauer withdrew certain comments and/or rewording was discussed. Separately, Casey's and Sauer's comments/modifications were presented to Lee County and successful agreement to Contract terms was achieved.

RECOMMENDATION:

The low, most responsive, and recommended proposal was submitted by Casey Industrial, Inc. The pricing is shown on the Pricing Abstract form. It shows base pricing, adjustments required to conform proposals to the RFP documents, plus options recommended to be included in the award (no longer options to be exercised), and three (3) additional options recommended to be listed in the Contract as options and exercised at the sole discretion of Lee County. It is also recommended to award this contract on a not to exceed basis, which includes \$495,000. of contingency allowance to be used at the sole discretion of Lee County's Director of Solid Waste or his designee. The recommended not to exceed award price is \$29,981,498.

			LEE COUN	LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA PROPOSAL OPENING FORM	
RFP	RFP NUMBER GC - 100	ı		Q	DATE 10 14:05
птп	TITLE (Summal Complex of	- Ann			TIME
	Bidder	Proposal Date	Date Received	Unevaluated Price	Remarks
	Passey Du D.			728 787 824	
2					Oplan : \$4856.
8					
4					
5	Same			34.826.250.	
9				, (Optim 1 40250-
7					7 175 250
∞					
		OPEN	OPENED BV.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		NTIM	WITNESSES:	NI START	

1,10,317 1,10,317							
1.	BASEP	RICE					
1.	-1-	Mobilization	!				
1 Figure 1 1 Figure 2 1 Figure 2 1 Figure 3 Figure 3 1 Figure 3 F	1.2	Civil/Architectural	1				: - }
1	1 (! ! ! !	!!!!!	1		
1		Mechanical					
1-6 Functional Log Cheeks 5 150,000 5 11,000 10,000	4	Electrical	3.200.000				!
1 Control Marker 1 Con	1		000 000				
6	-:	35	000,000		: : :		
1 Control 1 Control 1 Control 2 Control	16	Functional Loop Checks					
17 200 17 17 17 17 17 17 17		Exhibit L, Section 7	000°96				
1.5 Cartific Section 1.5 Cartific Sect	1.7	3000 Manhour Account					 - -
10 Separation 10 Separ		Exhibit Coction 8		1 1	! !	15 1 5 1	1000
1 Percentigation		CAMBINE, SECTION OF			1 1 11	46,66,6	3
19 The Landschool Plane 2 17,200 5 10,201 17,200 1 1	θ.	Temp Construction Power	!				
10 Store First		FPL to Distribution Panel				15 MWH.	
1 Dercyalingson 5 1,000 5 1,00		1000 to 0000	: : : :	!!!!!!!	!		
11 Percentination East Prince East P	n !	100% Perintaly Laboria	1,402		-		
11 Perrolaidadin Sans Price Sans Pri	1.10	Sales Tax	\$ 350,000				
ADJUST STATE Bases Price State	111	Demobilization	! ! !		í		
Control Cont			24 R26 250				
According to the control of the co	-	!	2000		1		
According 1971-1970 State Base Packetion of Orline Tate State Confidence of Confid		CTATA	: : :		!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!		
According to the control of the co	AUJUS		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	i i i !			i i !
Controlled Fig. Controlled	_		_	-		Sauer Base Reduction Prof Traffic Traffic Study; - reduce risk continger	ency-Clarification Exh
Controlled in Control Contro	α	Revise base after 10/25 mito	(\$1.047.250)			"L"-MBE reduced from 13%	
Accessional price Security	!				1	7	
Continue	<u>S</u>	Young Hard		1	 	La CE	
CALCALATION	Ú	Addendum #5					
Figure F	_	ESCALATION	i		"		
Extraction Source Designation State							
Particle	Φ	Electrical Scope 10/21/05	·c9				
Heart Teacher Heart Teache		Exhibit	plani				
richard \$ 5,000 \$ 2,286 \$ (4,000) \$ (550,000)	· ·		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	Devised upon set Die clool attended at	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	'n	Add bit Steel-Filler Falli	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	\$!. !		Morrison applicable of the province	
Nicolar Section Nicolar Section Sect	~	Heat Tracing	incld	!	1		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	·	Delete Tech Supervision Whrs	n/a	(\$46,			
Inicid S250,000 10-cld (3250,000) (250,000) (250,000)		Sed Ale Describer	i				-
Nicola Sizo	<u></u>	SIG ALL NECESTAR	1				1 1 1 1 1
15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	X	14" x 14" piles			!	Casey base 14", suil oners 250K (located alt. Supplier)	
1947 500 197	=	110% Contract Liability	\$250,000	incld	(250,000)		
33,475,600 \$ 30,099,486 (4,406,114) (435,090 5)SCORP (435,09	:	Switchward Lightnian	\$47.500			1	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1			10000	1 1 +		: : : :
incld 415,000 \$ 422,228 435,090 \$182,000 29,289,816 30,266,063 30,266,063 31,080,600 \$ 29,289,816 37,90,764 Obeduced from Caserys BAPO pince, added back to award price pending option appliance of the contract as Option CARACE Construction Changes CARACE CASER CASE	ADJUS	TED BASE PRICE		:	2		
Incid 415,000 \$122.288 435,080 \$152.088 435,080 \$152.08P 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		Options included in Award Price:					
incld 34,830,600 \$ 30,266,063	_	Coot ADO Codomico	415,000	6673	288		
13/30 600 \$ 30,266,063 \$ 33/30,764 Deducted from Casey's BAFO price, added back to award price pending option appl 13/306,600 \$ 29,289,816 \$ 33/30,764 Deducted from Casey's BAFO price, added back to award price pending option appl 29,289,816 \$ 29,881,489 \$ 29,881,489 Construction Changes QUZI Construction Changes QUZI Construction Changes QUZI Construction Changes QUZI	<u> </u>						
33,080,600 \$ 30,266,063 3,790,784 Deducted from Casey's BAFO price, added back to avarid price pending option apply not offered \$ 19,500 \$ 19,600 \$	_	Adjust Traffic Plan	Incid	-	(July		
33,080,600 \$ 29,289,816 3,790,764 Deducted from Casey's BAFO price, added back to award price pending option appil Construction Changos	_	Sub-total	€9		063		
33,080,600 \$ 29,289,816 (3,790,784) Deducted from Casey's BAFO price, added back to award price pending option appl Construction Changes Constructio				 			
N/a Sacratic Sac	1		000 000 000	! ! 	!		
19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	BEST	nd FINAL OFFER	000,000,55	1	:		17.5x
1/2 25.00		Shap Fat to Pipe	n/a		582	Deducted from Casey's BAFO price, added back to award price pendir	Ì
\$1,000 \$250 \$29,981,498 \$1,000		Constitution Albertain	n/a		1000	•	
40,250 \$ 48,546 \$55,000. GE \$55,000. GE \$55,000. GE \$55,000. GE \$55,000. GE \$50,000.	ď		i ! !		100		<u> </u>
\$ 10.250 \$ 49.546 \$ 10.55 \$	Kecom	mended Not to exceed Award Fin	1 1 1	1			
\$ 40,250 \$ 48,546 \$ 10.00 CE 10 be included in Contract as Option CARNEL L.K. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging area. Not offered by Sauer. 10 be included in Contract and staging	Options	to be included in Contract.		i			
(\$102,882) 10 be included in Contract as Option Che U.C. C	-	Assemble/Dress GSUT	40.5		246		
(\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$196-602) (\$190.00) (\$20,000)			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		882)	6 6000/20	i,
(\$196-682) (\$178,237)		_				TO WILL	-1
(\$178,237) No. offered (\$178,237) No. offered (\$50,000) (\$50,000) No. offered (\$100,000) No. offered (\$100,00	3)	Shap Feb t.B Pipe		19013	16.83	Casey reduction pending agreementpipe drwg release dates	!
not offered	VALUE	ENCINEEDING ALTERNATIVES			· ·		
Auger Cast Piles Auger Cast Piles Auger Cast Piles	NALCH NALCH	ENGINEERING ALI ENIALI VEG			··· - ·- // ·	11 to 12 to 10 to	!!!!!!!!!!!!
Buillers Risk Deductible	¥	Auger Cast Piles	not offered	0 10	ر اد	Net della (474.0,00) Illinas accordance ileni N	
Excess Lability 25M to 20M not offered (\$70,000) AC Add' Traffic Control Plan not offered (\$100,000) AC NOTES: 1. Casey re-allocled base costs. 10/28 email. Sauer reduced base \$1.047,250 after initial mig of scope and risk. 2. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Located company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.	ã	Ruilers Risk Deductible	(\$50,000)	(\$50,0	•	Reduce deductible Sauer to 25K Casey to 25K	
Add' Traffic Control Plan not offered (\$100,000) NOTES: 1. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Localed company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 2. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.	. (200 -12120	posetto ton	000	177	to t	
NOTES: NOTES: 1. Casey is base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Located company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.	5.	Excess Liability 23m to 20m	Tot Ollered	1070		יות מתכלתים	
NOTES: 1. Casey re-allocted base costs. 10/28 email. Sauer reduced base \$1.047,250 after initial mig of scope and risk 2. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Located company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.	<u> </u>	Add'I Traffic Control Plan	not offered	(\$100.	000)	not accepted	
NOTES: 1. Casey re-allocted base costs 10/28 email. Sauer reduced base \$1,047,250 after initial mtg of scope and risk 2. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Located company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.	:						
NOTES: 1. Casey re-allocted base costs. 10/28 email. Souer reduced base \$1,047,250 after initial mig of scope and risk 2. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Localed company set up for 14" inus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.				!			
1. Casey re-allocted base costs. 10/29 email. Sauer reduced base \$1.047,250 after initial mtg of scope and risk. 2. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Localed company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.		NOTES:					
2. Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Located company set up for 14" ihus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new sife entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.		1 Casoure alleded base costs 10/		\$1.047.250 offer foilial mto	of econe and nev		
2 Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" normal in Florida. Localed company set up for 14" thus \$250K deduct offered. 3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.		I. Casey le-ailucieu udse costs io.	So email. Sauer reduced pass	מוווים ווווים ווווים וווים וווים וווים וווים	or accordance in the		1 1 1 1 1 1
3. Casey's Traffic Control deduct is new site entry road and staging area. Not offered by Sauer.		12 Casey's base on 14" piles. 16" nor	mal in Florida. Located company	y set up for 14" thus \$250K d	leduct offered.		
3. Casey's Iranic Control deduct is new size entry road and stagning area. You uneven ut Salaris	_	Contract Contract of the Contr	To receive here because the contract of	Alst offered by Course			1
	_	3. Casey's Tramic Control deducate in	aw site entry road and stading an	THE NOT DITERED IN SOUTH			
4. Salver insurance coverage includes deductifications and adjusting the contractions and adj							

From: Peter Young

To: Sampson, Lindsey J.; Glenn Fontana

cc: Dennis Anacker; Jack Holmes; dcastro@hdrinc.com; Don D'Amico; Jim Kelly

Subject: Selection and Recommendation - General Contractor

Gentlemen,

Based on B&R's General Contractor (GC) Bid Evaluation, dated November 15, 2005, as updated per D'Amico's November 18, 2005 email (attached), Covanta concurs with B&R's selection and recommendation to award the GC scope to Casey Industrial, Inc. The following comments are for your consideration and guidance:

- 1. Commercial Terms & Conditions T&C's have been mutually agreed to between the County and Casey.
- 2. Price: Covanta concurs with B&R's recommended adjustments and Recommended Award Price of \$29,186,934. Price includes the following components:

Base Scope of Work (w/Bond) \$28,247,222(includes options

for APC

Enclosure erection and shop painting of TG steel)

Sales Tax on consumables

\$439,712

Escalation during Construction \$500,000 (to firm pricing)

Total Contract Price \$29,186,934

Project Estimate:

From 10/27/05 Project Estimate:

GC Construction LS Work

\$22,090,798

APC Enclosure(supply & erect) \$ 1,912,210 Less APC Enclosure supply (\$ 1,005,569) (by

SISCORP)

Cameras & Monitors

\$ 2,878

(equipment)

GC Performance Bond

\$ 239,684

(w/o WT scope)

Subtotal \$23,240,001

Items not in 10/27/05 Estimate:

CPI escalation- 9/05 to 10/05 \$ 298,169

(1.283% of

Subtotal)

Switchyard Work (\$138K quoted)\$ 150,000

(orig'ly by FPL) Sales Tax

\$ 439,712

Future Escalation Risk

\$ 500,000

Total (Re-estimate).....\$24,627,882

Estimate Discussion: Original Project estimate had assumed 90% complete design drawings (vs 56% used in RFP) and a 40 hour work week (vs 50 hours quoted, adding a significant increase in labor cost). Significant costs not anticipated include escalation beyond the CPI index (eg: steel, re-bar, and cement index increased 3%, 1.2%, and 2%, respectively, in Sept-Oct. '05), and risk for uncertainty in pricing and

availability of resources due to recent hurricanes. Additional items not originally estimated as significant costs include traffic control and more burdensome commercial risks such as insurance deductibles, limitation of liability, and interference/coordination with an operating plant.

- 4. Bond: A Labor/Material Payment and Performance Completion Bond covering 100% of the Contract Price is included.
- 5. Payment Terms: Based on mobilization, actual deliveries and percent complete progress; net 30 days.
- 6. Schedule: Based on a Notice-to-Proceed by December 15, 2005, GC has agreed to mobilize by early January 2006 and to support the Project Schedule resulting in Mechanical Completion by February 15, 2007.

B&R should proceed immediately with the following:

- 1) Complete the conformed specifications and assemble the finalized Contract Documents.
- 2) Submit 3 copies of the complete GC Contract for the GC to execute and return to Lee County.

B&R's original and current schedule for issuing this PO was November 15, 2005, with mobilization on December 5, 2005. In order to support the Project Schedule's critical path, Covanta recommends that the County have this award approved by the BOCC by the November 22, 2005 BOCC Meeting to allow a GC Notice-to-Proceed by December 15, 2006.

Peter

----Original Message----

From: Don D'Amico [mailto:ddamico@roe.com] Sent: Friday, November 18, 2005 12:09 PM

To: Young, Peter

Cc: Glenn Fontana; Patel, Manu

Subject: Lee County GC-100 Bid Evaluation

Pete.

BASED upon yesterday's Project Meeting discussions, attached is the updated bid eval. The Price Abstract was updated to reflect format of contract.

I'm not sureif Lindsey requires Covanta's recommendation and/or this bid evaluation for the BOCC package. I will try to get this document posted today, however, use the attached file for immediate purposes.

Don



MEMORANDUM

Casey Industrial Inc.

www.caseyind.com

Date: November 21, 2005

To: Ms. Georgia Sekulski

From: Debbie Krum

dkrum@caseyind.com

requested by Lindsey J. Sampson.

(Leliarah Krum)

[Click here and type recipient's name]

Re: Lee County Resource Recovery Facility Expansion

Project

If you have any questions or need additional information please don't hesitate to call at 770-772-7399.

Please find enclosed three (3) signed contract signature sheets for the above referenced project as

Sincerely,

Cc:

Deborah A. Krum