Lee County Board Of County Commissioner	S
Agenda Item Summary	

Blue Sheet No. 20061654

1. ACTION REQUESTED/PURPOSE:

Request direction from the Board of County Commissioners to consider the implementation of a Senior Citizen Homestead Exemption up to \$25,000 for Persons age 65, and whose household income does not exceed \$20,000. The income limitation shall be adjusted annually each January 1, by the percentage change in the average cost of living index for the immediate prior year.

2. WHAT ACTION ACCOMPLISHES:

Brings the issue of the Senior Citizen Homestead Exemption before the Board of County Commissioners for consideration.

3. MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION:

4. Departmental Category:		W() ±Z	5. Meeting Date: December 12, 2006			
6. Agenda:		7. Requirement/Purpose: (specify)	8. Request Initiated:			
	Consent	Statute	_ Commissioner			
	Administrative	Ordinance	Department	County Administration		
	Appeals	Admin. Code	Division	Budget Services		
	Public	Other	By: Dinal	Lewis, Admin Services Dir.		
X	Walk-On		r (but Fel Souls		
9. Ba	ckground:		7-			
Chapt	er 196.075 (2) Florida S	tatutes allows a local jurisdiction to p	rovide an addition	al homestead exemption of		
11m to	\$25,000 for homeowner	s age 65 or over whose annual incom-	e does not exceed \$	20 000. The cities of Cape		
1113 1()						

resulted in \$13,214,570 in property value exempted from taxation for FY06-07 for those millages to which the homestead exemption applied. Lee County BOCC has not exercised the exemption option to date. A projection of the revenue impact to Lee County is attached. The projection is reliable for planning purposes but is not intended as a precise calculation.

Implementation of this exemption would require an ordinance and public hearing.

NOTE:

On November 7, 2006, Amendment #6 was passed that would provide counties and municipalities the ability to exempt an additional \$25,000 for low-income seniors raising the original \$25,000 additional exemption to \$50,000. The legislature must first implement the change by general law.

10. Review for Scheduling:									
Departmen Director		Human Resources	Other	County	Budget Services			County Manager/P.W.	
1.70	» N/A	N/A	N/A	Muddla	Analyst	Risk	Grants	Mgr,	1
\mathcal{N}_{α}	7	1,112		Taser	N/A	N/A	N/A	1 Detail	121-04
11. Commission Action:									
	Approved								
	Deferred								
_	Denied								
	Other								



MEMORANDUM FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Date: December 7, 2006

To: Public Resources

From: Dinah L. Lewis

Director

Phone: (239) $335^{\frac{1}{2}}2887$

EMail: dllewis@leegov.com

RE: Walk-On Item for 12/12/06

The attached item is a Walk-on for the December 12, 2006 agenda. The reason for the walk-on is that the Board directed at the December 5, 2006 meeting that this be prepared for the December 12, 2006 session.

Effect of Implementing Additional 25,000 Homestead Exemption for Persons Age 65+ With Income Less Than \$25,000

1. Methodology

2005 American Community Survey from Census Bureau - Selected Data

82,793 households out of 235,033 are headed by persons 65+ (35.2%)

53,823 households are less than \$24,999 income (22.9% of all households)

Income Profile	Perceont	
Less than \$10,000	4.9	
10,000 to 14,999	5.0	
15,000 to 24,999	13.0	
25,000 to 34,999	14.9	
35,000 to 49,999	16.0	
50,000 to 74,999	19.3	
75,000 to 99,999	11.4	
100,000 to 149,999	8.9	
150,000 to 199,999	2.9	
200,000 or more	· <u>3.7</u>	
	100.0	
Median Household Income	\$46,053	
Mean Household Income	\$65,632	
Number of Households 65+		82,793
Percent Income <\$24,999		22.90%
Adjusted Number of Households (Income)		18,960
Percent Homestread Exemptions (Residential Parcels Base Based upon 141,680 parcels with homestead exemptions against 246,030 parcels in residential use. (Information 1	57.59%	
Adjusted Number of Households With Homestead Exemptio	n meetina	10.919
Age and Income Criteria		
Times 50,000		25,000
Affected Taxable Value		\$272,970,798
		\$272,970,798/1000
		\$272 971

II. Revenue Impact

Fund	Assessment Base	х	2005 Millage Rate = (FY05-06)	Potential Lost Revenue	2006 Millage Rate = (FY06-07)	Potential Lost Revenue
General Fund	\$272,971		3.9332	\$1,073,649	3.5216	\$961,294
Capital Outlay	\$272,971		0.5124	\$139,870	0.4536	\$123,820
Conservation 2020	\$272,971		0.5000	\$136,485	0.5000	\$136,485
Library	\$272,971		0,6055	\$165,284	0.4085	\$111,509
Unincorporated Area MSTU	\$272,971		1.0028	\$273,735	0.9300	\$253,863
All Hazards	\$272,971		0.0733	\$20,009	0.0733	\$20,009
				\$1,809,032		\$1,606,980

Assumptions:

1. Board of County Commissioners approves maximum exemption amount of \$25,000.

Prepared 11/14/06

Board of County Commissioners elects not to apply this exemption to dependent fire districts and MSTBU's (various Municipal Service Taxing Units).